(75)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 1397 of 2007.

PETITIONER : J.P.Awadhiya, S/o. Ram Vishal Awadhiya, aged about 49 years, R/o Irrigation Colony, H-1, Gandhi Nagar, Ambikapur, District Surguja, C.G.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh ,Through: Secretary, Water Resources Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Executive Engineer (Shyam Pariyojna) Pariyojna Mandal, Ambikapur, District Surguja (CG)
3. S.D.O. Shyam Canal Division No. 1, Gandhi Nagar, Ambikapur, District Surguja (CG)
4. Collector, Ambikapur, District Surguja (CG)
5. Competent Authority, Ambikapur under the Chhattisgarh Lok Parisar (Bedakhali) Adhiniyam, 1947.
6. S.K.Mishra, Draftsman, Division Office, Shyam Barni Pariyojna Mandal, Ambikapur, District Surguja (CG).

Present: Shri Manoj Paranjape, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents 1 to 5.
None for the respondent no.6.

76

ORDER
(Passed on 14th March 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to press any other ground except that the respondent authorities be directed to consider his application for allotment of official quarter in accordance with the rules for allotment of quarter (Annexure P/18) and other provisions of law, applicable to allotment of house within a stipulated period. Learned counsel further submits that in the meantime, no coercive steps be taken to remove the petitioner where he is in occupation.

2. The respondent authorities are directed to consider the application which may be filed within a period of three days and pass appropriate order in accordance with the rules and regulations applicable to the case, within a period of 15 days. In the meantime, no coercive steps be taken for removal of the petition from the premises whereof, he is in possession, for a period of 18 days. The authorities are at liberty to charge penal rent for such period, in accordance with the rules.

3. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

4. Consequently, M(W)P. No. 932/2007, application for grant of ad-interim writ stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Amit